Vibrational Excitations of Solitons in Polyacetylene

Mele and Rice' have recently shown that charged solitons in the Peierls model of polyacetylene lead to infrared-active modes within the semiconductor gap. They suggest that the experimentally α about gap. They suggest that the experiments observed² modes at 900 and 1370 cm⁻¹ result from two vibrational normal modes while the oscillation of the soliton pinned to an ionized impurity yields a mode at a much lower frequency. 'Fincher ${et\,al.},^2$ however, claimed that the 900 cm^{-1} mode is the pinned mode since its width is unusually large $(\sim 400 \text{ cm}^{-1})$.

I have carried out the same calculation within the continuum model.³ Consider the charge with a dimerization amplitude $\Delta(x - \varphi(t))$, i.e., its position is a time-dependent field. At frequencies low compared with the gap $2\Delta_0$ this leads to a current $j(t) = e\rho\partial\varphi/\partial t$ where ρ is the charge density.

Each phonon has an order parameter $\Delta_n(x-\varphi_n(t))$ $(n=1, 2, \ldots, N)$ with $\varphi_n(t)$ its oscillation degree of freedom. In the ground state³ $\Delta_n(x) = \Delta(x)\lambda_n/\lambda$ where λ_n is the dimensionless electron-phonon coupling and $\lambda = \sum_n \lambda_n$. After expansion $\Delta(x - \varphi)$ $=\sum_{n} \Delta_{n}(x-\varphi_{n})$ the translation mode φ is identified as $\varphi = \sum_n \varphi_n \lambda_n / \lambda$. The effective Lagrangian to second order in φ_n is

$$
L_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} M_s \Omega_0^2 \Biggl\{ \sum_n \Biggl[-\varphi_n^2 + \Bigl(\frac{\dot{\varphi}_n}{\omega_n^0} \Bigr)^2 \Biggr] \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda} + (1 - \alpha) \varphi^2 \Biggr\}, \qquad (1)
$$

where $M_s = 4\Delta_0^3/(3\pi\lambda\Omega_0^2 v_F^2)$ is the soliton kinetic mass, $\Omega_0^{-2} = \sum_{n}^{\infty} \lambda_n / \lambda (\omega_n^{0})^2$, ω_n^{0} are the bare phonon frequencies, and α represents pinning to an ionized impurity. The φ^2 term in (1) comes from the electron-phonon interaction and if $\alpha = 0$ it reduces the translation mode frequency to zero.

Adding to (1) the coupling of $j(t)$ to an electric field leads to a set of equations of motion for $\varphi_n(t)$ which determine $\varphi(t)$ and the conductivity

$$
\sigma(\omega) = e^2 i \omega \rho M_s^{\bullet - 1} \Omega_0^{\bullet - 2} D_0(\omega) / [1 + (1 - \alpha) D_0(\omega)],
$$
\n(2)

where $D_0(\omega) = \sum_n [(\omega/\omega_n^0)^2 - 1]^{-1} \lambda_n / \lambda$.

For $\alpha=0$ Eq. (2) yields the Fröhlich type superconductivity with an oscillator strength $\pi \rho / M_{\odot}$. For $\alpha \neq 0$ this sliding mode appears at a finite frequency. For two or more phonons additional

peaks in Re $\sigma(\omega)$ appear at ω_n^{φ} , one in each interval $(\omega_n^0, \omega_{n+1}^0)$, just as in the incommensurate limit of the Peierls model. $4,5$

Note that the number of ω_n^{φ} modes, *including* the sliding mode, equals the number N of bare modes. Reference 1 suggests that there are three ω_r^{ϕ} modes which means that there must be another coupled vibration in addition to the two modes in their Fig. 3.

However, if the mode at 900 cm^{-1} is not the pinned mode, then 900 cm⁻¹ $>\omega_1^0$ which is inconsis tent with both Fig. 1(a) of Ref. 1 and with experimental data, as I show next.

Since ω_n^0 is not available experimentally I use the Raman frequencies ω_n^R which correspond to amplitude oscillations. The calculation is the same as in the incommensurate case⁵ except λ + 2 λ .³ For ω «2 Δ_0 ω_n ^R satisfy 1 = (2 λ – 1) $D_0(\omega)$; thus $\omega_1^R < \omega_1^0$ and the other modes are in the intervals $(\omega_n^0, \omega_{n+1}^0)$. Experimentally⁶ the lowest frequency Raman mode is at \sim 1075 cm⁻¹ (or the very weak mode at 1015 cm⁻¹); thus $\omega_1^{\;\;0}$ is at a higher frequency and the $900-cm⁻¹$ mode cannot be but the pinned mode.

The data thus support a two-phonon system: The data thus support a two-phonon system.
 ω_1^{φ} = 900 cm⁻¹ as the pinned mode and ω_2^{φ} = 1370 cm⁻¹. With use of $2\lambda = 0.38$,³ $\omega_1^R = 1075$ cm⁻¹ and $\omega_2^{R} = 1470 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, ⁶ the equations for ω_n^{φ} and ω yield ω_1^0 = 1210 cm⁻¹, ω_2^0 = 2110 cm⁻¹, λ_1/λ = 0.08, λ_2/λ = 0.92, and α = 0.23. The intensity ratio of ω_1^{φ} to ω_2^{φ} modes is 2.3 in good agreement with experimental data. The dielectric constant $\epsilon(0)$ $= 1 + 4\pi\rho e^2/\alpha M_s \Omega_0^2$ can now be used to determine M_s , the single parameter in the theory which contains the identity of the charge carrier.

Baruch Horovitz

Department of Nuclear Physics The Weizmann Institute of Science Rehovot 76100, Israel

Received 29 May 1980

PACS numbers: 72.15.Nj, 78.30.Jw, 63.20.Dj, 78.50.-w

 ${}^{1}E$. J. Mele and M. J. Rice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 926 (1980).

²C. R. Fincher, Jr., M. Ozaki, A. J. Heeger, and A. G. MacDiarmid, Phys. Rev. B 19, 4140 (1979).

 3 B. Horovitz, Phys. Rev. B 22, 1101 (1980).

 $4M.$ J. Rice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 36 (1976).

⁵B. Horovitz, H. Gutfreund, and M. Weger, Phys. Rev. B 17, 2796 (1978).

 $6S.$ Lefrant et al., Solid State Commun. 29, 191 (1979).